To amend the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 to enhance rulemaking requirements for the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

| Mr. | Kennedy | introduced | the following | bill; | which | was | read | ${\rm twice}$ | and | referred |
|-----|---------|------------|------------------------|-------|-------|-----|-----------------------|---------------|-----|----------|
| | | to the Co | ${ m mmittee}$ on $_$ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

A BILL

- To amend the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 to enhance rulemaking requirements for the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, and for other purposes.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
 - 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
 - 4 This Act may be cited as the "Transparency in
 - 5 CFPB Cost-Benefit Analysis Act".
 - 6 SEC. 2. TRANSPARENCY IN COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS.
 - 7 Section 1022(b) of the Consumer Financial Protec-
 - 8 tion Act of 2010 (12 U.S.C. 5512(b)) is amended by add-
 - 9 ing at the end the following:

| 1 | "(5) Additional rulemaking require- |
|----|---|
| 2 | MENTS.— |
| 3 | "(A) In General.—Each notice of pro- |
| 4 | posed rulemaking issued by the Bureau shall be |
| 5 | published in its entirety in the Federal Register |
| 6 | and shall include— |
| 7 | "(i) a statement of the need for the |
| 8 | proposed regulation; |
| 9 | "(ii) an examination of why the Bu- |
| 10 | reau must undertake the proposed regula- |
| 11 | tion and why the private market, State, |
| 12 | local, or tribal authorities cannot ade- |
| 13 | quately address the problem; |
| 14 | "(iii) an examination of whether the |
| 15 | proposed regulation is duplicative, incon- |
| 16 | sistent, or incompatible with other Federal |
| 17 | regulations and orders; |
| 18 | "(iv) if the proposed regulation is |
| 19 | found to be duplicative, inconsistent, or in- |
| 20 | compatible with other Federal regulations |
| 21 | and orders, a discussion of— |
| 22 | "(I) why the proposed regulation |
| 23 | is justified; |

| 1 | $"(\Pi)$ how the proposed regulation |
|----|--|
| 2 | can coexist with the existing regula- |
| 3 | tions; and |
| 4 | "(III) how the Bureau plans to |
| 5 | reduce the regulatory burden associ- |
| 6 | ated with the duplicative, inconsistent, |
| 7 | or incompatible proposed regulation; |
| 8 | "(v) a quantitative and qualitative as- |
| 9 | sessment of all anticipated direct and indi- |
| 10 | rect costs and benefits of the proposed reg- |
| 11 | ulation, including— |
| 12 | "(I) compliance costs for all reg- |
| 13 | ulated entities, including small busi- |
| 14 | nesses; |
| 15 | "(II) effects on economic activity, |
| 16 | efficiency, competition and capital for- |
| 17 | mation; |
| 18 | "(III) regulatory and administra- |
| 19 | tive costs of implementation; and |
| 20 | "(IV) costs imposed on State, |
| 21 | local and tribal entities; |
| 22 | "(vi) an identification of reasonable |
| 23 | alternatives to the regulation, including |
| 24 | modification of an existing regulation; |

| 1 | "(vii) an analysis of the costs and |
|----|---|
| 2 | benefits, both quantitative and qualitative, |
| 3 | of any alternative identified pursuant to |
| 4 | clause (v); |
| 5 | "(viii) if the Bureau determines the |
| 6 | proposed regulation would increase costs |
| 7 | for small businesses, then the Bureau shall |
| 8 | consult the Office of Advocacy within the |
| 9 | Small Business Administration to deter- |
| 10 | mine ways to minimize the effect of direct |
| 11 | and indirect costs imposed on small busi- |
| 12 | nesses by the proposed regulation; |
| 13 | "(ix) if quantified net benefits of the |
| 14 | proposed action do not outweigh the quan- |
| 15 | tified net benefits of the alternatives, a jus- |
| 16 | tification of the regulation; |
| 17 | "(x) if quantified benefits identified |
| 18 | pursuant to clause (iv) do not outweigh the |
| 19 | quantified costs of the regulation, a jus- |
| 20 | tification of the regulation; |
| 21 | "(xi) an assessment of how the bur- |
| 22 | den imposed by the regulation will be dis- |
| 23 | tributed; including whether consumers, or |
| 24 | small businesses will be disproportionately |
| 25 | burdened; and |

| 1 | "(xii) when feasible, and using appro- |
|----|--|
| 2 | priate statistical techniques, a probability |
| 3 | distribution of the relevant outcomes of the |
| 4 | proposed regulation. |
| 5 | "(B) Assumptions and studies used.— |
| 6 | With respect to the information required to be |
| 7 | included under subparagraph (A), the Bureau |
| 8 | will include— |
| 9 | "(i) a discussion of underlying as- |
| 10 | sumptions used as a basis for such infor- |
| 11 | mation; and |
| 12 | "(ii) a description of any studies or |
| 13 | data used in preparing such information, |
| 14 | and whether such studies were peer-re- |
| 15 | viewed.". |